

| | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/752,352 | Applicant(s) ALEXANDER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Ishwar (I. B.) Patel | Art Unit 2827 | |

All Participants:

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(2) John D. Cowart (Reg. 38,415).

Date of Interview: 17 March 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 17, 19 and 20.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

I B Patel

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's representative and asked to amend claim 1, to include the limitation of claim 5 to overcome the combination of prior art of Archambeault and Ehman et al. and to place the application in condition of allowance. Also, asked to cancel claims 17 and 19. Applicant's representative gave permission to amend the application by examiner's amendment. .